Leon County

Board of County Commissioners

301 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 606-5302   www.leoncountyfl.gov

Purchasing Division
1800-3 Blair Stone Road
(çorner of Miccosukee and Blair Stone Roads)
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
(850) 606-1600

Commissioners

BILL PROCTOR
District 1

JANE G. SAULS
District 2

JOHN DAILEY
District 3

BRYAN DESLOGE
District 4

KRISTIN DOZIER
District 5

NICK MADDOX
At-Large

AKIN AKINYEMI
At-Large

PARWEZ ALAM ·
County Administrator

HERBERT W.A. THIELE
County Attorney

March 10, 2011

RE: Invitation To Negotiate for Public Safety Complex Visualization and
Collaboration System
Proposal Number: BC-03-09-11-20

ADDENDUM #2

Dear Vendor:

This letter serves as Addendum #2 for the above referenced project.

1.   Attached are project questions received with the answers following.

Acknowledgment of this addendum is required as part of your submittal. Failure to
acknowledge this addendum may result in rejection of your response.

Should you have any questions, feel free to call me at (850) 606-1600.

Sincerely,

Keith M. Roberts
Purchasing Director

Leon County Public Safety Complex
Visualization and Collaboration
ITN Number BC-03-09-11-20
Project Questions

Q1. Section 1.1 A. Is there a preferred display manufacturer or will all valid 24/7 command and control display manufacturer's be evaluated on an equal footing?

There is no preferred display manufacturer.

Q2. Section 1.1 B. This section calls for "latest technologies"…given the budgetary design has 4:3 aspect ratio displays, will Leon consider 16:9 HD displays as a valid proposal?

Yes

Q3. Section 1.1 D and E. This description indicates a software only based collaboration platform which although inexpensive and cheap, has various performance limitations with respect to refresh rates and resolutions. Will Leon County value a combined software + hardware collaboration solution to enhance performance where necessary as long as the platform is inexpensively scalable and available across standard IP networking scheme?

A Software based solution is required.

Q4. Section 1.1H Can more clarification be made about your requirements related to "Intelligent Visualization"?

The system should be capable of receiving triggers from applications using varied platforms to display events where needed.

Q5. Section 1.1 I – 2 Please clarify that the requirement is for the aggregated data to be pushed to/accessed by Smartphones and not the individual data sources. Pushing the aggregated data from the display wall will contain a vast amount of information that will not be able to be viewed with any level of detail on the small smartphone screens?

The system should utilize technology that will transmit only data related to changes in a given scene, not a continuous stream depicting a static view.

Q6. Section 2.1 A. "67" indicates a possible 4:3 aspect ratio cube. Later technologies are available to include a 16:9 aspect ratio in both 50" and 70" diagonals. Will the country view these aspect ratios as meeting or exceeding the requirements?

Yes

Q7. Section 2.1 L. We interpret this description as a basis of design and budget only. Will Leon County evaluate later technologies such as WXGA and Full HD as meeting or exceeding the requirements of the county?

Yes

Q8. Section 2.2 A Latest LCD technologies include a Full HD LED 55" version of the traditional thin bezel LCD's. Will the county evaluate this as meeting or exceeding the requirements?

Yes

Q9. Section 2.2 L We interpret this description as a basis of design and budget only. Will Leon County evaluate later technologies such as WXGA and Full HD 55" as meeting or exceeding the requirements of the county?

Yes

Q10. Section 2.4 E. We interpret this COTS requirement to also define the "system" and sub components to be provided, supported, and warranted by one source of responsibility. In other words the system provided shall be COTS product, with all subcomponents provided by the same manufacturer to insure against "improvised" solutions based on various manufacturers. Is this the intent of the county?

This paragraph requires software capable of running on COT hardware, not specially constructed or improvised hardware.

Q11. Who has been selected as the contractor?

The Construction Manager for this project is Ajax Building Corp. Midway Florida

Q12. Please confirm that the below listed rooms located on the first floor in/around the RTMC are not part of the ECC/EOC scope.
- SSE #160B
- Conference Room # 15

The displays shown in SSE160B and Conference Room 154 are not included in the ECC/EOC scope. However the system must be capable of integrating with the RTMC visualization system.

Q13. Please confirm that the Logistics Building/Rooms are part of this scope.

Yes

Q14. Do the display devices in the Logistics Bldg. require collaborative capability with the systems in the main bldg?

Yes.

Q14A. If so, is there fiber between the buildings?

Yes.

Q15. Are the walls that overlook the RTMC from the EOC (room 226), EOC Conference Room (room 221), and the ECC Operations Floor (room 215) glass walls?

 Yes

Q15A. If so, are they full length glass walls (floor to ceiling) or have glass walls?

Yes

Q16. How are the presentation capabilities (control of and inputs from PC/laptops) in the training rooms, conference rooms, and media center, multi-purpose room being handled for local presentation capability? There does not appear to be any indication of this requirement on the systems drawings.

All inputs from laptops or PCs will be over the network.

Q17. A size requirement for the LCD is provided but not for the LED….what size LED is required/desired?

For budgetary purposes they should be 47" diagonal.

Sizes indicated are suggested and may vary with final placement and view requirements.

Q18. Why have some rooms been specified with LED rather than LCD?

The offices are shown with LED monitors with integrated tuners. The displays are commercial grade displays without tuners. The desire is that all devices will be LED. However, we understand that commercial grade LED displays are not currently available. The reason for the inclusion of paragraph 1.1 B is to incorporate such technologies if they become available by the time of purchase.

Q19. Is there a reason that single 55"/60" LCD displays are not being considered/shown in rooms 241 – 244? They are small rooms that a single large format display device could be well suited for and save money.

Value Management options should be presented as part of the proposal.

Q20. Can you provide furniture layouts for the following rooms?
- First Floor
  Media Room, Large Conference Room, Multi-Purpose Room.
- Second Floor
  EOC, EOC Conf Room, EOC Training Room, Breakout Rooms 241-244, Active Training, ECC Operations Floor\

Current layout are preliminary and subject to final design. First and second floor plan furnishing diagrams are provided as a part of the ITN package.

Q 21. There is no indication of video conferencing or audio conferencing to be utilized in these rooms. Is this a technology that is desired and if so, can you provide a basic understanding about which rooms you might envision having this in?

Video conferencing is not intended by this ITN. Audio conferencing would be done over the phone system.

Q 22. How many users (Collaborative Licenses) with full interactive capabilities do you anticipate needing?

Unknown at this time .

Q 23. A request is made to allow for an extension to be granted to the response due date.

The due date has been changed as noted in Addendum #1.